

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 390 (M/B) of 2004

M/s Ram Gopal Bhagwat Saran		Petitioner
	Versus	
State and Others		Respondents
		

Mr. Ramji Srivastava, learned counsel for the petitioner
Mr. K.P.Upadhayaya, learned counsel for the State of Uttaranchal

Coram: Hon. V.S.Sirpurkar, C.J.
Hon. Irshad Hussain, J.
Dated: September 30 , 2004

[Per Hon'ble Chef Justice)

1. The petitioner is a kerosene dealer. His quota was 156 kiloliters of kerosene oil per month since March 2003. The authorities are reducing the said quota and have not fixed the same as 65 kiloliters per months. This is being done inspite of protests by the petitioner. However, no reasons are being supplied by the State Government. That his how the petitioner has come before us.

2. Inspite of several opportunities given to the State Government, State Government has not come up with the explanation. The explanation so far made does not show as to on what basis the quota of other persons has been increased and quota of the petitioners has been decreased, In fact we sought explanation. That is not forthcoming. We are, therefore, constrained to pass order to direct the State Government to decide the applications / representation of the petitioner within fifteen days from today supplying reasons to the petitioner. If the State Government is not able to do that, then the petitioner's quota shall be restored as per the licence.

3. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Irshad Hussain, J.)
30.09.2004

(V.S.Sirpurkar, C.J.)
30.09.2004